



Y
hasn't
cently
n
should
wn for
which it
have an
d be
g at all.

each

on

g spells
plans, he
e as all
How
king the
n, Nov.
ntry that
n insid-

ion the
reso-

ven on

crats buys votes as effectively and more directly than fat-cat super PAC contributions. And the money spent on such "free stuff" dwarfs whatever funds a corporate PAC might dream of contributing.

Let me make a modest proposal: In the spirit of bipartisanship, let's pass a constitutional amendment that says that, in effect, if an individual gets more out of government than he puts in, he can't vote.

Why do I suspect an initiative like this that takes all money out of politics is a non-starter?

Robert Bell, Brooklyn

Partisan singles

I have a simple message for all those New York singles who wouldn't date someone of opposite political views: Open your horizons ("Is Love Nonpartisan?" PostOpinion, Heather Robinson & Alan Zeitlin, Nov. 5).

Political views are just that: views that change with the horizon. It's arrogant and closed-minded to think one is better than the other.

Obviously, people with knee-

Vet health care

Donald Trump's concerns are truly valid ("Trump's Superb Plan for Vets' Health Care," Betsy McCaughey, PostOpinion, Nov. 4). The present arrangement for veterans accessing health care proves to be exceedingly wasteful and inefficient.

Why not simplify the system by mandating that vets choose between Medicare or the Veterans Administration system for accessing their care?

In my daily practice, it's a common occurrence for vets to visit the VA clinic simply to obtain free prescriptions and then visit their Medicare provider for their regular visit. I have found these practices to be utterly incomprehensible.

Why not just offer a voucher for free prescriptions to those patients choosing to go the Medicare route and skip the redundancy of the VA clinic visit?

Ronald Frank, West Orange, NJ



Post your comments
on stories at